UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,474	07/11/2001	Norman Wesley Gimbert	13DV-14215	9339	
John S. Beulick	7590 05/03/2007		EXAM	INER	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP			ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN		
One metropolit St. Louis, MO	an Sq., Suite 2600 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/903,474	GIMBERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165	

	Neveen Abel-Jaili	2100	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the same of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the s	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) \(\) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) \(\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) \(\) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) \(\) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14.) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). Newly proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profite status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	nsideration and/or search (see NOw); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	ette below); educing or simplifying jected claims. compliant Amendment timely filed amendme	the issues for (PTOL-324). ent canceling the
Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		-	1 M
	Ne	veen Abel	Jal

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

The newly added claim language of "navigational structure substantially identical to the first web site navigational structure" found in Independent claims 1, 6, and 13 raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search.